UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,739	03/04/2002	Yuichi Matsumoto	03500.016250.	2224
	7590 12/22/200 CCELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEL	LER PLAZA	PENG, FRED H		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2426	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/086,739	MATSUMOTO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		FRED PENG	2426				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the part of the plant is a second control of the part of the	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOTS IN THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 S</u>	Centember 2008					
•		s action is non-final.					
′=	/ <del></del>						
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
-	Claim(s) <u>1,19,30,34 and 35</u> is/are pending in t	he application					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· —	6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,19,30,34 and 35</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
		r ciccion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the l	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/086,739 Page 2

Art Unit: 2426

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 19, 30 and 34-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1, 19, 30 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis et al (US 2006/0136965) in view of Hassell et al (US 2008/0189745) and Alexander et al (US 6,177,931).

Regarding Claims 1, 19 and 30, Ellis discloses a data receiving apparatus connected with a recording apparatus (FIG.3, FIG.4) with corresponding method and a storage medium (FIG.3, -44) storing a program for recording a television broadcast program, and booking to record the program (Para 102 lines 1-3), said data receiving apparatus comprising:

a receiving unit adapted to receive a television broadcast program (FIG.3, -28);

an input unit adapted to input booking information about a program (FIG.3, -40), which is booked to be recorded from said recording apparatus (Para 102); and

a profile generation unit adapted to generate a user profile of said data receiving apparatus on the basis of a view history of a broadcast program received by said receiving unit (Para 107 lines 1-9);

a profile updating unit adapted to update said user profile on the basis of the booking information input by said input unit (Para 107 lines 9-11);

a searching unit adapted to search for a desired program in the television broadcast program received by said receiving unit based on the user profile updated by said profile updating unit (Para 77 lines 5-12).

Page 3

Ellis is silent about booking cancellation information about the booked program, and recording end information about the booked program and updating the user profile if booking for recording is not canceled in said recording apparatus, and not updating the user profile when the booking is canceled in said recording apparatus.

In an analogous art, Hassell discloses inputting recording end information about the booked program (Para 51 lines 10-15; Para 64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Ellis' system to include inputting recording end information about the booked program, as taught by Hassell to provide an option to the user thereby better accommodate user's needs and preferences.

Ellis and Hassell are not explicit about booking cancellation information about the booked program with a deleting unit adapted to delete the booking information and updating a profile in accordance with the input recording end information when booking is not cancelling and updating the user profile without the booking information when booking is cancelled.

In an analogous art, Alexander discloses a user interface to cancel a booked program (FIG.6, remove a scheduled recording). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include booking cancellation information about the booked program with a deleting unit adapted to delete the booking information as a common convenient feature for recording.

Alexander further discloses a profile program accumulates the number of times that the viewer actually recorded the programs and keep track of each interaction with the program guide including recording activities for further analysis (Col 29 lines 14-55; profile program collects all the interactive activities with the EPG hence including booked recording cancellation and input recording end information as taught by Hassell).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the combined system of Ellis and Hassell to include updating a profile by accumulating the number of times that the viewer actually recorded the programs and keep track of each interaction with the program guide including recording activities as taught by Alexander to learn to recognize a finer breakdown about the various types of data collected and then use the learned information to described a viewer preference (Col 29 lines 56-60).

Regarding Claim 34, Ellis and Alexander both are silent about updating user profile with a weight dependent upon a duration of recording corresponding to the booking information.

Length of a program viewed by a user can be a weighting factor for a user preference is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include length of recording as a weighting factor for a user preference to obtain broader data statistics collection.

Regarding Claim 35, Alexander discloses a profile program that only tracks number of times that the viewer actually recorded the programs and hence is independent from the duration of the recording.

## Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/086,739 Page 5

Art Unit: 2426

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to FRED PENG whose telephone number is (571)270-1147. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday-Friday 09:00-18:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

Fred Peng Patent Examiner Vivek Srivastava Supervisory Patent Examiner

/Annan Q Shang/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2424